



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/042,539	01/08/2002	Manh-Quan Tam Nguyen	END920010064US1	5544
75	90 02/15/2006		EXAMINER	
Richard L. Ca			PALADINI, ALB	ERT WILLIAM
	lurphy & Presser		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBER
400 Garden City Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Garden City, NY 11530			2125	, ,,

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/042,539	NGUYEN, MANH-QUAN TAM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Albert W. Paladini	2125			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a), In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2005.				
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the	application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-11 and 13-20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	plication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
A44a.a.b	440)					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/02</u> .) 5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
. сро	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-/ <u>-</u>	-			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 USC, first paragraph rejection, the single means rejection for claim 11, the 35 USC paragraph rejection form claim 5, and the 35 USC 102 rejection have been withdrawn due to the amendments and persuasive arguments and explanations provided in the Applicant's amendment filed on November 15, 2005. These rejections are herewith withdrawn. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the 35 USC second paragraph rejection of claim 1.

Office Action Summary

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 1

The objective recites "A method of creating a mathematical model for determining at least one work location in a multi-layered panel". There are no steps, which recite how this model is created. The claim recites, "said mathematical model employs an algorithm which considers" a number of factors followed by a recitation of what the algorithm is purported to accomplish. There are no actual sequential, logical

Art Unit: 2125

steps, which recite how the mathematical model is created. The recitation is a narrative, which explains that some type of mathematical algorithm is employed, and that by somehow considering factors such as "rotation", "shrinkage", "stretching"; the algorithm facilitates: "accurate prediction of the work location".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5-11 and 13-20 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the references cited or the art searched disclose or teach the limitations explained in the.

 Applicant's response on pages 12 and 13.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Applicant's Arguments

6. The Applicant's response to the 35 USC rejection on page 13 states:

"Moreover, with regards to the claims, wherein the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, all of the essential steps have been fully set forth in the claims and are supported in the amended specification, as presented herein."

Application/Control Number: 10/042,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

This is considered non-responsive, since it does not explain how the claim recites steps or methodology to create a mathematical model. On lines 1-3, claim 1 recites "A method of creating a mathematical model for determining at least one work location in a multi-layered panel, wherein said mathematical model employs and algorithm considering translational and rotational compensations caused by panel shrinkage". The claim does not recite steps or methodology to create a model, but this recitation of the first step explains that the model employs an algorithm, which performs certain functions. Since the first limitation recites that the model employs an algorithm, the model must already be in existence. Nowhere, does the claim recite steps or methodology for actually "creating a mathematical model."

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2125

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Albert W. Paladini whose telephone number is (571) 272-3748. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 to 3:00 PM on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Leo P. Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

February 9, 2006

Albert W. Paladini Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125